## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/568,530	NIIZAKI, TOURU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHUANGYI ABU ALI	1793	

	SHUANGYI ABU ALI	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	REPLY FILED 11 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire In Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or in MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.97	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) in the appropriate extension fee under some benefiled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	couse		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	octed claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	See Continuation Sheet.				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•			
7. Me for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
sa	/Michael A Marcheschi/ Primary Examiner, Art U				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejections based on Chassot is withdrawn since the amendment to claim 1 excludes using positive charge control agent alone or positive and negative charge control agents.